IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3159 OF 2006.

Mukhyayar Nabi Shaikh. ... Applicant.
Versus.
The State of Maharashra. ... Respondent.

Ms.P.P.Kakade for the Applicant.
Shri Rajesh More, A.P.P.for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.
DATED : 27th September, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The earlier application for bail filed by the Applicant has been rejected by the order dated 7th July 2005. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that more than one year has passed and till today there is no progress made in the trial. She submitted that the lapse of long time brings about the change in circumstances requiring interference with the view taken earlier. The learned A.P.P. states that now the trial will start on 3rd October 2006 and even witness summonses have been issued. He states that the prosecution desires to examine about five witnesses.

2. In my view no change has been brought about to interfere with the view taken earlier. Now the trial is likely to commence. The learned trial Judge will dispose of the trial as expeditiously as possible. The Application is rejected.

Judge.